

**From:** [Wyatt, Robert](#)  
**To:** [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: Fate and Transport Modeling Schedule Status  
**Date:** 09/12/2006 10:58 AM

---

Thanks Eric.

----- Original Message -----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov <Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov>  
To: Wyatt, Robert  
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov <Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov>; cstivers@anchorenv.com <cstivers@anchorenv.com>; mckenj@portptld.com <mckenj@portptld.com>; RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US <RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US>; voster@anchorenv.com <voster@anchorenv.com>  
Sent: Tue Sep 12 10:47:23 2006  
Subject: Re: Fw: Fate and Transport Modeling Schedule Status

Bob, thanks for the message. I too share the concern. We are looking for ways to keep this moving forward.

Eric

"Wyatt, Robert"  
<rjw@nwnatural.com>  
To  
Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
09/12/2006 10:41 AM Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc  
RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US,  
mckenj@portptld.com,  
voster@anchorenv.com,  
cstivers@anchorenv.com  
Subject  
Fw: Fate and Transport Modeling  
Schedule Status

Chip and Eric,

The LWG Management Team received the attached email and we agreed that forwarding it to you was the best way to approach the issue. Please let us know how you would like to proceed. Thank you.

Bob

----- Original Message -----

From: Carl Stivers <cstivers@anchorenv.com>

To: Wyatt, Robert; Applegate, Rick <RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US>;

McKenna, James (Jim) <Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com>

Cc: Valerie Oster <voster@anchorenv.com>

Sent: Tue Sep 05 15:16:48 2006

Subject: Fate and Transport Modeling Schedule Status

Bob, Jim, and Rick -

As I noted in my August 4 email to EPA, we are behind schedule on our Fate and Transport modeling discussions and process. The agreed upon schedule is copied below. As you can see, we envisioned a series of meetings with EPA through September, and we have not had any of those yet. I still envision that several working meetings between the EPA and LWG team will be needed, followed by refinements to the existing model components, to be ready for the EFDC model inputs as they come available in mid-October.

I am very concerned that we are falling behind schedule and will be late in our identification of any Round 3B key data gaps related with Fate and Transport modeling, which is supposed to take place simultaneous with the conclusion of the Round 2 Report. We have heard that EPA is rearranging the government team on this issue. I was hoping we could get some indication from EPA regarding who is their new lead contact/coordinator for this issue, and how we can best engage that contact to get underway with some meetings as soon as possible. Anchor feels that this is a top priority item to meet everyone's goal of keeping the overall project on schedule.

Approximate Agreed Upon Schedule:

1. Through September – EPA and LWG jointly develop concept, architecture, linkage logistics, and modeling scenarios for Hybrid Model. Agree on final architecture and parameterization for food web portions in time for Round 2 Report development.

- 2.a. Mid-October – Calibration of EFDC model completed.

2.b. Mid-October – Complete preliminary Hybrid Model runs using non-calibrated EFDC outputs. Identify Round 3B data gaps during this step.

3. December – Complete preliminary Hybrid Model runs using outputs of calibrated EFDC model for “typical” river conditions (e.g., calibration/validation period conditions).

4. March 2007 – Complete full integration of Hybrid Model and final baseline runs of Hybrid Model for a range of river conditions and provide Technical Memo on accuracy and ability of model to be used for FS alternative evaluations. Possible additional 3B data gaps identified here.

Thanks.

Carl

Carl Stivers  
Anchor Environmental, L.L.C.  
1423 3rd Avenue, Suite 300  
Seattle, WA 98101-2226  
Phone: 206-287-9130  
Fax: 206-287-9131

cstivers@anchorenv.com

This communication is made under the framework of the LWG Participation Agreement and in the parties' common interests in meeting LWG member obligations under the Administrative Order on Consent and in anticipation of litigation concerning liability for the Portland Harbor Superfund site. This communication is intended and believed by the parties to be part of an ongoing and joint effort to develop and maintain a common legal strategy and contains strategies, work product and legal advice within the "common interest" extension of the attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine. This communication may include attorney-client communications. With respect to communications by private LWG members to public members, those communications are with the expectation that they will be kept confidential by the public entities. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at [cstivers@anchorenv.com](mailto:cstivers@anchorenv.com)